

REMARKS

STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

In accordance with the foregoing, claim 2 has been cancelled and claims 3-11 have been amended. Claims 1-11 are pending and under consideration.

No new matter is being presented and approval of the amended claims is respectfully requested.

REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS 3, 6 AND 7 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 3 and 7 are rejected since the claims include limitations with insufficient antecedent bases. The claims are amended herein to include appropriate antecedent bases and, thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections are overcome.

Claim 6 is rejected since it includes the term "as well as" which, the Examiner asserts, is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. Claim 6 is amended herein to delete the indefinite term. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

REJECTION OF CLAIM 11 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §101

On pages 2-3 of the Office Action, claim 11 is rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter, since it is claiming a program.

Claim 11 is amended herein to be directed to a tangible medium, which constitutes statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 11 is overcome.

REJECTIONS OF CLAIM 1-11 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(e) AS BEING ANTICIPATED BY ROBERTSON (U.S. PATENT NO. 6,609,106)

The rejections of claims 1-11 are respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserts that Robertson teaches an information providing system with an information browsing environment detecting part detecting information on an information browsing environment of the user terminal, as recited in original

claim 2, citing column 20, line – column 21, line 30. The Examiner further asserts that Robertson teaches an information editing part editing information provided by the service providing server based on the information on the information browsing environment detected by the information browsing environment detecting part, as recited in original claim 2, citing column 22, lines 1-29.

The features of claim 2 have been incorporated into amended claim 1. Further, the original features of claim 2 have been amended to recite "...detecting information on a configuration of the user terminal for browsing information at the user terminal..."; and "...editing information provided by the service providing server in a data format suitable for presentation in the configuration of the user terminal based on the information on the configuration of the user terminal...". Accordingly, original claim 2 has been cancelled.

Robertson discusses a User Main Page which is the central location from where member functions associated with users and their secured profiles can be accessed. Robertson also discusses an SP Main Page including an SP Marketing Statistics Link, which displays the marketing statistics, and allows merchants to analyze user gift registrations and purchase information. (Column 20, line 65 – column 21, line 29).

However, it is respectfully submitted that Robertson does not teach or even suggest an information browsing environment detecting part detecting information on *a configuration of the user terminal for browsing information at the user terminal*, as recited in amended claim 1.

Robertson further discusses an Event Distribution List Section, in which an Event Distribution List can be created, modified, and deleted. Similarly, Robertson discusses a Gift Registration List Box, which allows users to add, modify and delete gifts that they would like to receive in conjunction with the event. (Column 22, lines 1-29).

However, it is respectfully submitted that Robertson does not teach or even suggest an information editing part editing information provided by the service providing server *in a data format suitable for presentation in the configuration of the user terminal* based on the information on the configuration of the user terminal detected by the information browsing environment detecting part, as recited in amended claim 1.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that amended claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

Claims 3-8 depend from claim 1 and inherit its patentable features. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that claims 3-8 also patentably distinguish over the prior art.

Independent claims 9-11 have been amended herein to include features similar to those of amended claim 1, as discussed above. Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claims 9-11 patentably distinguish over the prior art.

CONSLUSION

In accordance with the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome and/or rendered moot. Further, all pending claims patentably distinguish over the prior art. There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date:

September 16, 2005

By:

David M. Pitcher

David M. Pitcher
Registration No. 25,908

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501